## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

DEBBIE DOWELL,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	NO 040 00405
	)	NO. 3:19-cv-00105
V.	)	JUDGE RICHARDSON
	)	
RYAN K. ZINKE, Acting Director,	)	
Department of the Interior, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.		

## **ORDER**

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. No. 28), to which no objections have been timely filed.

The failure to object to a report and recommendation releases the Court from its duty to independently review the matter. *Frias v. Frias*, No. 2:18-cv-00076, 2019 WL 549506, at \* 2 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 12, 2019); *Hart v. Bee Property Mgmt.*, Case No. 18-cv-11851, 2019 WL 1242372, at \* 1 (E.D. Mich. March 18, 2019) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). The district court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, those aspects of the report and recommendation to which no objection is made. *Ashraf v. Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.*, 322 F. Supp. 3d 879, 881 (W.D. Tenn. 2018); *Benson v. Walden Security*, Case No. 3:18-cv-0010, 2018 WL 6322332, at \* 3 (M.D. Tenn. Dec. 4, 2018). The district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and rulings to which no specific objection is filed. *Id.* 

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and the file. The Report and Recommendation is adopted and approved. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Request for Restraining Order (Doc. No. 12) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Eli Richardson ELI RICHARDSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE